

Distributed Moving Object Data Management in MobilityDB

Esteban Zimányi, Mahmoud Sakr, Arthur Lesuisse and *Mohamed Bakli* Université libre de Bruxelles, Belgium

MobilityDB



- An SQL moving object database (MOD)
- Builds on PostgreSQL and PostGIS
- Developed by a team in Université libre de Bruxelles
- **Open source**: https://github.com/ULB-CoDE-WIT/MobilityDB
- Compliant with OGC standards on Moving Features, and in particular the OGC Moving Features Access

MobilityDB: Architecture





MobilityDB: Features





MobilityDB: Query Examples



• Trips whose speed was ever greater than 90

SELECT TripID
FROM Trips
WHERE speed(Trip) ?> 90

• Trips that ever intersect a point of interest

SELECT TripID, POIDescription
FROM Trips t, POIs p
WHERE tintersects(t.Trip, p.Geom) ?= TRUE

• Temporal count of the number of trips

```
SELECT tcount(Trip)
FROM Trips
```



MobilityDB Ecosystem

	MobilityDB MapMatch		MobilityDB Exchange		MobilityDB ETL		MobilityDB View	
	MobilityDB Distributed	MobilityDB Network		MobilityDB Stream		Mobility[Python	DВ	MobilityDB JDBC
L	Citus	Pgl	Routing Pipeline		neDB	Psycopg 2.8		PostgreSQL JDBC 42.2.6
	MobilityDB		PostgreSQL 11 PostGIS 2.5		Python 3.7		Java 11	
	Ubuntu 18.04.2 LTS							

MobilityDB: Coping with Big Data



- Mobility data is typically huge
- MobilityDB builds on PostgreSQL, which is not distributed
- For big data management it is essential to scale out => Distributed MobilityDB
- The solution cannot change the PostgreSQL core



Distributed PostgreSQL: Open Source Extensions

• PostgreXL

- A fork, not an extension of PostgreSQL
- Newest version is based on PostgreSQL-10

• TimescaleDB

- Extension of PostgreSQL, not a fork
- Partition the data horizontally based on the time interval
- Planner functions are modified from the PostgreSQL core planner
- Optimized for storing and analyzing time series data

Citus

- Extension of PostgreSQL, not a fork
- Acquired by Microsoft (2019)
- Horizontally scales PostgreSQL across multiple machines using sharding and replication
- Every worker receives a SQL query not an execution plan
- Workers have their own planner and different distributed plan executors



Distributed MobilityDB: Cluster Architecture





- **Routable queries:** Queries that can be fully evaluated on a subset of workers, the final result is a simple concatenation of are the workers results
- Query sent to worker nodes, which optimize it using the regular PostgreSQL planner, executes it, and returns the result to the route executor

Query	Workers	Coordinator
SELECT *	SELECT *	SELECT *
FROM Weather	FROM Weather_1	FROM Result_1
WHERE City= 'Brussels'	WHERE City= 'Brussels'	UNION SELECT *
		FROM Result_1
		•••

- **Push-downable queries**: Queries that span multiple shards and use aggregates, GROUP BY, ORDER BY, and LIMIT
- Executed by the Citus real-time executor
- Workers use PostgreSQL planner to optimize the execution of their fragments and return their result to the executor
- Executor merge results, do post processing, and produce the final result

Query	Workers	Coordinator
SELECT COUNT(Temperature)	SELECT COUNT(Temperature) cnt	SELECT SUM(cnt)
FROM Weather	FROM Weather 1	FROM
WHERE City= 'Brussels'	WHERE City= 'Brussels'	(SELECT * FROM Result_1
		UNION
		SELECT * FROM Result_2
		11



- **Recursive CTE queries**: can not be pushed down
- Planner is recursively called for the subqueries.
- Coordinator pushes back the result of the subquery to the worker nodes, these results are used as reference tables in the evaluation of the main query
- Example:

```
WITH PointCount AS (
    SELECT P.PointId, COUNT(DISTINCT T.CarId) AS Hits
    FROM Trips T, Points P WHERE tintersects(T.Trip, P.geom)
    GROUP BY P.PointId )
SELECT PointId, Hits FROM PointCount AS P
WHERE P.Hits = ( SELECT MAX(Hits) FROM PointCount )
```



- **Complex queries**: Non-co-located joins, which are expensive as they involve a lot of network I/O for re-partitioning the data
- Citus planner rejects this class of queries by default: To activate it, an option needs to be set
- Queries that involved non-co-located joins always broke in our experiments
- One query might be split into multiple parts and different executors might be invoked for the parts depending on the structure of each query.

Experimental Evaluation



- Goal: Assess how to distribute queries by integrating MobilityDB and Citus
- Two clusters of 4 and 28 nodes
- Dataset generated by BerlinMOD, a benchmark for MOD
 - Simulated trips: to work, from work, leasure
 - Size can be controlled by a scale factor
- Workload: 17 BerlinMOD/R range queries of four categories
 - Object, Temporal, Spatial, Spatiotemporal
- We experiment with three data partitioning methods:
 - Object based (by carld)
 - 3D grid partitioning (space partitioning)
 - GiST partitioning (data partitioning, r-tree)



BerlinMOD Query in MobilityDB: Example

• Q17: Which point(s) from Points have been visited by a maximum number of different vehicles?

```
WITH PointCount AS (
    SELECT P.PointId, COUNT(DISTINCT T.CarId) AS Visits
    FROM Trips T, Points P
    WHERE st_intersects(trajectory(T.Trip), P.geom)
    GROUP BY P.PointId )
SELECT PointId, Visits
FROM PointCount AS P
WHERE P.Visits = ( SELECT MAX(Visits) FROM PointCount )
```

Distributed Plans in Citus: Example



 Q17: Which point(s) from Points have been visited by a maximum number of different vehicles? 1 Custom Scan (Citus Router)

1	Custom Scan (Citus Router)					
2	-> Distributed Subplan 54_1					
3	-> GroupAggregate, Group Key: remote_scan.pointid					
4	-> Sort, Sort Key: remote_scan.pointid					
5	-> Custom Scan (Citus Real-Time) 🚽					
6	Task Count: 32					
7	Tasks Shown: One of 32					
8	-> Task					
9	Node: host=pgx12 port=5432 dbname=sf21_0					
10	-> HashAggregate, Group Key: p.pointid, t.carid					
11	-> Nested Loop					
12	-> Seq Scan on points_102041 p					
13	-> Bitmap Heap Scan on trips_102008 t					
14	Recheck Cond: (trip && p.geom)					
15	<pre>Filter: _intersects(trip, p.geom)</pre>					
16	-> Bitmap Index Scan on					
	<pre>trips_spgist_idx_carid_102008</pre>					
17	Index Cond: (trip && p.geom)					



Experimental Results: Overall Gain



Run time gain on a cluster of 4 nodes

Run time gain on a cluster of 28 nodes



Experimental Results: Gain Per Query



Run time gain per query on a cluster of 4 nodes

Discussion of Results



- Experiments done using the BerlinMOD benchmark for moving object databases
- Results show a **significant gain** in the performance of the distributed queries wrt single-node queries
- No significant differences between the data partitioning methods
- 3D-grid is slightly better than the other two methods, but with a small margin
- 13 queries out of 17 could be distributed out of the box
- **However**, BerlinMOD benchmark was not designated to distributed MOD
- A specific benchmark is required to assess the performance of different classes of distributed MOD queries

Future Work: Roadmap

- Enabling non-co-located spatiotemporal joins
- Supporting MobilityDB temporal aggregate functions
- Extending the distributed planner of Citus

Thanks for listening !

Questions ?

